## **Basic FLUX Training Evaluation**

The Water Resources Center at Minnesota State University, Mankato and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency would appreciate your input about the Basic FLUX Training.

100% (11/11) participants responded to the survey.

Use the following scale (1 = low and 5 = high) and include additional comments where needed.

		Low			High	
1.	Were the instructors effective in delivering relevant training information?	1	2	3	4	5
	Comments: A little fast, a little scattered, overall good. Good to see it on the screen as you go through the program.			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%
2.	Did this training meet your expectations?	1	2	3 9.1%	4	5 45.5%
	Comments: Yes, good to see someone running through the program.					
3.	How would you rate the facilities?	1	2	3	4 45.5%	<b>5</b> 54.5%
	Comments: A+ Good, no distractions.					
4.	How would you rate lunch?	1	2 9.1%	<b>3</b> 18.2%	4 27.3%	5 45.5%
	Comments:					

Good!

- 5. What did you like most about the training? Why? Good practical examples Good to topic, good to have practice sheets Hands on, computers available, disk to take with Working in the program and discuss what things meant Hands on Need to learn this! Demonstrating use of data and walking through Moved so fast Practice doing it as we go, working through the menus, learning where everything is. Interactive
- 6. What did you like least about the training? Why?
  Went a little too fast, however, the practice sheet will be helpful.
  Organization
  Nothing
  Amount of Material covered
  Not enough time, too rushed
  Address issues in quality assurance. Can everyone run this program entirely.
- What other technical topics would be of interest for future training? GIS, Arcview layers, etc. Just an idea: have us bring data and work on while instructors are available for questions for 1 to 2 hours. Setting up the wq and q files, rating curves, uploading, formatting. Discharge curve equations.