

Watershed Health Scores compare and rank various aspects of ecological health across Minnesota. Index values are based on a variety of data sources, calculations and scientific approaches. Each index is scored on a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being the least desirable result or condtion to 100 being the best existing condition or most desirable result. Major watershed scale rankings may mask the range of conditions that occur at more local scales. A high score may indicate the least impacted condition

in Minnesota, not necessarily a healthy condition.				
COMPONENT SCORES				
	(J)	(,)		
HYDROLOGY	GEOMORPHOLOGY	BIOLOGY	CONNECTIVITY	WATER QUALITY
Mean (Ave.) 65	Mean (Ave.) 69	Mean (Ave.) 36	Mean (Ave.) 30	Mean (Ave.) 52
Minimum Indéx 33	Minimum Index 47	Minimum Index 7	Minimum Index 10	Minimum Index 30
INDEX SCORES	INDEX SCORES	INDEX SCORES	INDEX SCORES	INDEX SCORES
Perennial Cover 33	Soil Erosion 72	Terrestrial Habitat	Terrestrial Habitat 10	Non-Point Source 30
Impervious Cover 78 *	Susceptibility	Quality	Connectivity	Point Source 85 *
Withdrawal 93 *	Groundwater Susceptibility 47	Stream Species 59	Aquatic Connectivity 14	Assessments 42
Storage 52 Flow Variability 69		Species Richness 49	Riparian 64	
Flow variability 09	Climate 89 Vulnerability	At-Risk Species 28	Connectivity	
Metric Sub-Scores Storage:	vunerability	Richness	Metric Sub-Scores Aquatic Connectivity:	Metric Sub-Scores Non-Point Source:
Stream/Ditch Ratio 43			Bridges/Culverts 17	Nutrient Application 51
Surface storage 62			Dams 11	Riparian Impervious 9

Forest

Pasture/Hay

Cultivated Crops

Granite Falls

Minh R-N

Grassland

Wetlands

\*These index values are influenced by very low scores associated with dense urban use of resources. This gives comparatively high scores for outstate Minnesota. Viewing input data is necessary to evaluate possible watershed scale concerns.

November, 2011

South Fork Crow R

0 255 Mile

nake Rive

Minn R-Shakopee