

across Minnesota. Index values are based on a variety of data sources, calculations and scientific approaches. Each index is scored on a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being the least desirable result or condtion to 100 being the best existing condition or most desirable result. Major watershed scale rankings may mask the range of conditions that in M

Surface storage

18

desirable result. Major watershed scale rankings may mask the range of conditions that occur at more local scales. A high score may indicate the least impacted condition in Minnesota, not necessarily a healthy condition.				
HYDROLOGY Mean (Ave.) 60 Minimum Index 9	GEOMORPHOLOGY Mean (Ave.) 74 Minimum Index 55	BIOLOGY Mean (Ave.) 38 Minimum Index 1	CONNECTIVITY Mean (Ave.) 19 Minimum Index 2	WATER QUALITY Mean (Ave.) 52 Minimum Index 31
INDEX SCORES Perennial Cover 9 Impervious Cover 93 * Withdrawal 99 * Storage 35	INDEX SCORES Soil Erosion 76 Susceptibility Groundwater 55 Susceptibility	INDEX SCORES Terrestrial Habitat Quality 1 Stream Species 76	INDEX SCORES Terrestrial Habitat 2 Connectivity Aquatic Connectivity 10	INDEX SCORES Non-Point Source 31 Point Source 87 * Assessments 39
Flow Variability 65 Metric Sub-Scores Storage: Stream/Ditch Ratio 53	Climate 92 Vulnerability	Species Richness 56 At-Risk Species 18 Richness	Riparian Connectivity44Metric Sub-Scores Aquatic Connectivity: Bridges/Culverts8	Metric Sub-Scores Non-Point Source: Nutrient Application 23

Forest Grassland

Pasture/Hay

Cultivated Cr

*These index values are influenced by very low scores associated with dense urban use of resources. This gives comparatively high scores for outstate Minnesota. Viewing input data is necessary to evaluate possible watershed scale concerns.

November, 2011

39

Riparian Impervious

12

Dams

Shell Rock River